

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,414	03/01/2004	Toshio Ota	084335-0183	7161
22428	7590 09/28/2006		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			GOLDBERG, JEANINE ANNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	-
			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/790,414	OTA ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jeanine A. Goldberg	1634		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ) o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ARANDON	DN.  timely filed  m the mailing date of this communication.  JED (35 U.S.C. & 133)		
Status					
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 M</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the prac	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pi			
Disposition of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 8,9,11 and 15 is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 8,9,11 and 15 are subject to restriction on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or decla	er. cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colon None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date		

Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 8-9, 11, drawn to a method for synthesizing a cDNA, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
  - Claim 15, drawn to a method for obtaining an mRNA library, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are directed to related methods. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed are distinct methods which have different objectives, method steps and reagents. Claim 8 is for synthesizing cDNA while Claim 15 is for synthesizing mRNA library using a DNA dependent RNA polymerase. The claims do not have any method steps in common. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. A telephone call was made to Scott McBride on September 20, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. The examiner was told that Mr. McBride was out of the office until September 25<sup>th</sup> and was unable to reach him at the number provided.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

Art Unit: 1634

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Jeanine Goldberg whose telephone number is (571) 272-0743. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla, can be reached on (571) 272-0735.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The Central Fax Number for official correspondence is (571) 273-8300.

Jeanine Goldberg

Primary Examiner

September 21, 2006